Please scroll to page 212 to begin proof. Thank you.

## THE CARMINE TRICHOPILIAS OF CENTRAL AMERICA: FEW BUT BADLY CONFUSED

ROBERT L. Dressler<sup>1,2,3,4,5</sup> and Franco Pupulin<sup>1,2</sup>

 ¹Jardín Botánico Lankester, Universidad de Costa Rica PO Box 1031-7050 Cartago, Costa Rica, C.A.
²Marie Selby Botanical Gardens, Sarasota, Florida
³Missouri Botanical Garden, St. Louis, Missouri
⁴Florida Museum of Natural History, Gainesville, Florida

ABSTRACT: There has been widespread taxonomic confusion as to the Central American red-lipped Trichopilias going all the way back to the original descriptions of *Trichopilia marginata* Henfrey and *Trichopilia coccinea* Lindl. which differ in nothing other than name. This confusion has been exacerbated by the use of the name *Trichopilia coccinea* in reference to a distinct Costa Rican species and the presence of at least one hybrid swarm resulting from interbreeding between *Trichopilia marginata* and *Trichopilia suavis*. A new species, *Trichopilia punicea* Dressler & Pupulin, is herein described to recognize this distinctive Costa Rican species formally. The taxonomic priority of the name *Trichopilia xcrispa* Lindl. for the natural hybrid between *Trichopilia marginata* and *Trichopilia suavis* is also discussed.

THE FIRST RED-LIPPED *Trichopilia* was somewhat confused even before it was properly baptized. In his correspondence, Warszewicz used the name *Trichopilia coccinea* in 1849 for plants he had collected on the slopes of Volcán Chiriquí in western Panama. Although not yet formally published as a new species, the name soon became known among orchid growers, and Lindley expressly states that under that name Warszewicz's plants from Central America were publicly sold in English auctions in 1849 and 1850 (Lindley, 1851).

In 1851, Henfrey described this species as Trichopilia marginata (Henfrey, 1851). A few weeks later, Lindley described the same species as Trichopilia coccinea (Fig. 2, page 214) and reprimanded Henfrey for trying to replace the already familiar name coccinea with marginata and for saying that the plant was Colombian and imported by Linden. Lindley was well acquainted with Linden's collections from South America, having published a large account on the plants discovered by the Belgian horticulturist and explorer in his Orchidaceae Lindenianae (Lindley, 1846). The only species of Trichopilia included in this catalog is Trichopilia fragrans, described by Lindley in 1844 as a member of the genus Pilumna (Lindley, 1844). Anyway, in 1851, neither author considered T. marginata and T. coccinea to be different species. Henfrey cited "Trichopilia coccinea, of gardens," and Lindley cited T. marginata as an "alias." The International Rules of Botanical Nomenclature frown upon any name that is published with an older, valid name listed in synonymy (art. 52, Greuter et al., 2000). Thus, under the Rules, Lindley's name is automatically illegitimate because

Nonetheless, the name "T. coccinea," has been applied to another rather distinctive Trichopilia from the Pacific slope of Costa Rica (Bockemühl and Senghas, 1979). The "T. coccinea" of Costa Rica is characterized by very long, narrow pseudobulbs, large flowers, darker reddish-brown sepals and petals, shorter tubular base of the lip, lip much wider across the lateral lobes than across the midlobe, and slightly twisted column apex.

The third element in this confusion is the natural hybrid between *T. marginata* and *T. suavis* (Figs. 3A–C; page 215). This was first recognized as a natural hybrid by Mora-Retana and ×*ramonensis* was used for several years before being

Fig. 1 (opposite). *Trichopilia marginata*. A. A "pure" form of T. marginata from southern Costa Rica, close to the Panamanian border. This flower pretty well matches the original illustration of T. marginata. Photograph by F. Pupulin. B. Trichopilia marginata from Alberto M. Brenes Biological Reserve near San Ramón. Grower: G. Pozzi. Photograph by F. Pupulin. C. (5056). This spectacular specimen of T. marginata was originally collected in the high basin of Sarapiquí River, along the Caribbean watershed of Costa Rican Central Volcanic range. Photograph by F. Pupulin. D. (2944). Trichopilia marginata is widespread in Costa Rica. This plant, grown at Jardín Botánico Lankester without locality data. Photograph by F. Pupulin. E. (1832). A plant of *T. marginata* without locality data, flowered at Jardín Botánico Lankester in May, 1998. Photograph by F. Pupulin. F. Trichopilia marginata, illustrated in Cogniaux and Gossens' Dictionnaire Iconographique des Orchideés with the name of T. coccinea.

it was superfluous at the time of its publication. Although both names have been used occasionally, we find nothing in the original descriptions or illustrations to show that Lindley's *T. coccinea* differs from Henfrey's *T. marginata* in anything but name.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Author for correspondence: rdressle@cariari.ucr.ac.cr



formally published by Morales (2002). However, Trichopilia crispa Lindley (Fig. 3B, page 215; Figs. 4B-D, page 216), one of the early "synonyms of T. marginata," is a much earlier name for this same natural hybrid, which occurs in both Costa Rica and Panama and is similar to the manmade hybrid Trichopilia × Charles, of the same parentage (Fig. 5, page 217). Much of the confusion in recent years has involved the "coccinea" of Costa Rica and the natural hybrid T. ×crispa. Both the natural hybrid and the plant known as T. "coccinea" have large showy flowers as compared with T. marginata, and plants of T. "coccinea" have been misidentified as  $T. \times ramonensis (= T. \times crispa)$ . One would expect a selfed interspecific hybrid to show much variation, with many of the seedlings resembling one or the other parent species in some features. Thus, a uniform progeny was quite unexpected when one of these misidentified plants was selfed at Jardín Botánico Lankester some years ago. When the seedlings matured, everyone was surprised to find them all much like the parent plant. A similar thing happened in Germany (Lücke, 2000). We are not sure if the same error was made independently in Germany, or if another of the "T. coccinea" was taken or sent from Costa Rica as "T. ×ramonensis." Lücke's Figure 1 is clearly T. ×ramonensis (or, rather, T.  $\times crispa$ ), while Figures 3 and 4 both represent "T. coccinea."

As one might expect, the features in which T.  $\times crispa$ differs from either T. marginata or "T. coccinea" are the features inherited from T. suavis. In Table 1 (below), we compare the features of T.  $\times crispa$  with those of the plant known as "T. coccinea" in Costa Rica. There is a great deal of variation in T. marginata, especially where the natural hybrids occur (Figs. 1B–F, page 213). The variation suggests that there are not only F, hybrids but crosses between the hybrids and back-crosses to one or both parent species (Fig 4E, page 216). While  $T. \times crispa$  seems close to the  $\overline{F}_1$ hybrid, others, such as T. lepida (Fig. 4A, page 216) may represent a back-cross to T. marginata, and it is thus probably a synonym of T.  $\times crispa$ , rather than of T. marginata. Note that under the Rules of Nomenclature, "nothospecies" or hybrid names cover the offspring of backcrosses as well as the F, hybrid, in contrast to the hybrid registry of cultivated hybrids. So, while the name T.  $\times crispa$ must be used for the natural hybrid swarm of T. marginata and T. suavis, including all the naturally occurring backcrosses to the parents, we strongly suggest that one should use the name T. ×Charles for the artificially produced F, hybrid.



Fig. 2. The original illustration of *Trichopilia coccinea*, published in Paxton's *Flower Garden* in 1851.

The original illustration of *T. marginata* of Henfrey suggests that the plant he described was from southwestern Costa Rica or adjacent Panama, where the flowers are of moderate size and the red-purple may be limited to the throat or spread over much of the lip (Fig. 1A, page 213). Thus, the original *T. marginata* appears to have been relatively "pure."

There remains the problem of the "T. coccinea" of Costa Rica. It is a distinctive plant, clearly related to typical T. marginata, but it differs in the narrow pseudobulbs and especially in the lip, which is very wide across the lateral lobes (when flattened), with the margin straight (not strongly crisped), and in the slightly twisted apex of the column. Clearly, we cannot call the distinctive Costa Rican plant T. coccinea. It is not at all the same plant described by Lindley, and because an earlier valid name was cited in the original description, as we have noted, Lindley's name is illegitimate

TABLE 1. Comparison of some characters of Trichopilia punicea and T. ×crispa.

Characteristic	Trichopilia punicea	Trichopilia ×crispa
Pseudobulbs	$9-12 \times 0.7-1$ cm	5–7, 5 × 2–4 cm
Inflorescence	1-flowered	often 2(-3) -flowered
Median Band of Sepals and Petals	dark red-brown	pink
Margins of Median Band of Sepals and Petal	sclearly defined	irregular, breaking up in spots
Ventral Groove of Lip	prominent	variable, may include small keel
Lip Broadest Across	lateral lobes	midlobe









Fig. 3. Trichopilia × crispa. A. Trichopilia × crispa illustrated in Curtis' Botanical Magazine as T. coccinea. B. Trichopilia × crispa painted by John Day in 1877, based on a plant he bought in 1874 from William Rollisson & Son. C. Trichopilia × crispa from the vicinity of San Ramón in Costa Rica, the home of "T. × ramonensis." Note the wavy margins of the lip. Photograph by Kerry Dressler. D. An unpublished watercolor of T. × crispa by Professor Rafael Lucas Rodríguez, from the photographic archives of Jardín Botánico Lankester.




Fig. 4. Trichopilia ×crispa. A. Trichopilia lepida from the Orchid Album, a later synonym of T. ×crispa. Photograph by Wojciech Klikunas. B. *Trichopilia* × *crispa* in a fine watercolor by Gossens. C. *Trichopilia crispa marginata*, a showy form of *T.* ×*crispa*, from Select Orchidaceous Plants. D. A colorful and wavy Trichopilia

×crispa, illustrated as var. marginata in Cogniaux and Gossens' Dictionnaire Iconographique des Orchideés. E. A wild specimen of Trichopilia × crispa, probably of F, generation, showing introgression with *T. suavis*. Photograph by Kerry Dressler.

and cannot be used at all. The name *Trichopilia* gloxiniaeflora is simply a nomen nudum, without description or other information, and Cogniaux (1894–1898, 1902) considered it, perhaps with good reason, a synonym of *T.* ×*crispa*.

Learning about natural distribution can be difficult, especially with showy and much sought-after species. Many growers have no idea where their plants were originally collected, and those who do know are often reluctant to tell. We know the "T. coccinea" from four different localities, all in San José Province: Sabanilla de Acosta, Cerro Nara (Fig. 7B, page 219), vicinity of San Marcos de Tarrazú, and Alto de San Juan (Figs 7A, 7C, page 219), not far from San Isidro de Pérez Zeledón. Trichopilia marginata, as we know it from areas farther south, is quite typical of the species, and does not resemble the new species here described, though we cannot be sure that intermediate populations will not be found in the future. In the absence of such intermediates, we are less uncomfortable describing the distinctive Costa Rican plant as a new species:

## Trichopilia punicea Dressler & Pupulin, sp. nov.

TYPE: Costa Rica. San José: Acosta, Sabanilla, collected by H. Alpízar, 2001, flowered in cultivation at Acosta, March 1, 2003, *F. Pupulin 4366* (holotype: CR; isotype: JBL-Spirit) (Figs. 6, page 218, and 7C, page 219).

Syn: Trichopilia coccinea of authors and hort., non Lindl.

Species Trichopiliae marginatae Henfr. similis, pseudobulbis linearis angustioribus, labello inter lobulos laterales multo latiore, marginibus planis non valde crispis, columnae apice leviter torto recedit.

Roots 1–2 mm in diameter; pseudobulbs 8–12  $\times$  0.5–1 cm, linear, ancipitous, tapering slightly; leaves  $12–25\times2-3.7$  cm, narrowly elliptic, acute or acuminate, narrowed basally for 1–2 cm; inflorescence lateral, pendent or subpendent, virtually always 1-flowered, peduncle to 3 cm; floral bract  $1.6–2.2\times0.7–0.8$  cm, appressed to pedicel, ovary and pedicel 4.5–5 cm; dorsal sepal  $6–7.3\times0.8$  cm, short-unguiculate basally, narrowly elliptic, acute, somewhat undulate; lateral sepals similar,  $6–7.3\times1.1$  mm, united basally for ca. 8 mm, acuminate; petals  $5.9–7.2\times1.5$  cm, elliptic, acute; lip 3-lobed, basally narrow and tubular for 1.6–2.2 cm, 6–8.1 cm long, 4.5–6 cm across lateral lobes, midlobe  $1.2–2.5\times2.5–4.5$  cm, transversely oblong, deeply emarginate; column 3–3.5 cm, basally adnate to column ca. 8 mm, clinandrium fimbriate, ca. 7 mm long.

ETYMOLOGY: The specific epithet *punicea* is the Latin word for phoenicean purple, or crimson, and has the same meaning as the word *coccinea*, in allusion to the showy, carmine-red color of the lip.

We would also propose the following taxonomy for the carmine Trichopilias of Central America, in the hope of helping growers to use correct names on the labels of their parent plants.

*Trichopilia* × *crispa* Lindl., *Gard. Chron.* 1857: 342. 1857, *pro sp.*; Bateman, *Sec. Cent. Orch. Pl.*, pl. 115. 1867, *pro sp.*; Day, Scrapbook 21: 71. 1877, *pro. sp.*; Cogniaux



Fig. 5. The artificial hybrid *Trichopilia* ×Charles. This specimen was obtained by C.A. Bonilla in Costa Rica.

and Goossens, *Dict. Icon. Orch.* 8: *Trichopilia* pl. 2. 1902, *pro sp.* 

Syn: *Trichopilia coccinea* Hook., Bot. Mag. 81: pl. 4857, 1855, *non* Lindl., *syn. nov*.

Trichopilia coccinea var. crispa Morren, Belg. Hort 24:92. 1874, syn. nov.

Trichopilia crispa var. marginata Warner, Select. Orch. Pl. 1: sub pl. 5. 1862; Cogniaux, Lindenia 4:pl. 527. 1894–1898; Cogniaux and Goossens, Dict. Icon. Orch. 8: Trichopilia pl. 2A. 1902, syn. nov.

*Trichopilia lepida* hort. Ex *Fl. Mag.* N.s.: t. 98. 1874, *syn. nov.* 

*Trichopilia* × *ramonensis* J. García and Mora-Ret. ex C.O. Morales, *Lankesteriana* 5:18. 2002, *syn. nov.* 

(?) *Trichopilia gloxiniaeflora* Klotsch. *ex* Rchb.f., Orch. Centr.-Amer. 13. 1866, *nom. nud*.

*Trichopilia marginata* Henfr., *Gard. Mag. Bot.* 3: 185.

Syn: *Trichopilia coccinea* Warsz. *ex* Lindl., *Paxton Fl. Gard.* 2: 80, pl. 54. 1851; Cogniaux and Goossens, *Dict. Icon. Orch.* 8: *Trichopilia* pl. 1. 1902.

## LITERATURE CITED

Bock, I., and O. Gruss. 2000. *Trichopilia* Lindley, Introd. Nat. Syst. ed. II: 446. 1836. *Orchidee* 51:758–759.

Bockemühl, I., and K. Senghas. 1979. *Trichopilia marginata* Henfrey 1851. Orchideenkartei Seite CXLIII/CXLIV, Beilage zu *Orchidee* 51.

Cogniaux, A. 1894–1898. *Trichopilia crispa* var. *marginata*. *Lindenia* 4:pl. 527.

Cogniaux, A., and F. Goossens. 1902. *Dict. Icon. Orch.* 8: *Trichopilia* pl. 2A.




Fig. 6. *Trichopilia punicea* Dressler & Pupulin. A. Habit. B. Flower. C. Dissected perianth. D. Column and lip, lateral view (the lip in longitudianl section). E. Column, ventral and lateral

views. F. Anther cap and pollinarium (two views). Drawn by F. Pupulin from the holotype.



Greuter, W., J. McNeill, F.R. Barrie, H.M. Burdet and V. Demoulin. 2000. *International Code of Botanical Nomenclature (Saint Louis Code)*. XVI International Botanical Congress, Saint Louis.

Henfrey, A. 1851. *Trichopilia marginata*. *Gard. Mag. Bot.* 3: 185.

Horich, C. Kl. 1996. Schöne und seltene *Trichopilia*-Arten von Zentralamerika. *Orchidee* 47:177–184.

von Zentralamerika. *Orchidee* 47:177–184. Lindley, J. 1844. *Pilumna fragrans. Bot. Reg.* 30: Misc. 74.

\_\_\_\_. 1846. Orchidaceae Lindenianae; or notes upon a collection of orchids formed in Colombia and Cuba by Mr. J. Linden. Bradbury and Evans, London.

\_\_\_\_. 1851. The carmine Trichopil (*Trichopilia coccinea*). *Paxton Flow. Gard.* 2: 80.

Lücke, E. 2000. Aussaat und Blüte von *Trichopilia* × ramonensis. Orchidee 51:443–445.

Morales, C. O. 2002. *Trichopilia* × ramonensis (Orchidaceae), un híbrido natural de Costa Rica. *Lankesteriana* 5:17–21.

Mora-Retana, D. E., and J. B. García. 1992. Lista actualizada de las orquídeas de Costa Rica (Orchidaceae). *Brenesia* 37:79–124.

Fig. 7. *Trichopilia punicea*. A. A plant from El Alto de San Juan in southern Costa Rica, flowered in cultivation at Jardín Botánico Lankester in 1998. Photograph by F. Pupulin. B. *Trichopilia punicea* from the summit of Cerro Nara in central Pacific Costa Rica, flowered at Gaia Botanical Garden, Quepos, Costa Rica. Photograph by F. Pupulin. C. Three views of a flower from the type specimen of *Trichopilia punicea*.